

REMARKS

Claims 1-15 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 4 are amended. Claims 12-15 are added. The claim amendments and added claims introduce no new matter. Reconsideration of the application based on the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over JP 03-180890 to Kazuhiro et al. (hereinafter "Kazuhiro"); claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Kazuhiro in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,400,050 to Matsumoto et al. (hereinafter "Matsumoto"); claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Kazuhiro in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,831,622 to Aoki; claims 4 and 7-11 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Kazuhiro in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,956,082 to Yamazaki; claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Kazuhiro in view of Yamazaki and Matsumoto; and claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Kazuhiro in view of Yamazaki and Aoki. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Aoki is not available as prior art because this application is a divisional application of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/362,654 filed on July 29, 1999, which date is prior to the August 6, 2002 U.S. filing date of Aoki. Accordingly, withdrawal and reconsideration of the rejections of claims 3 and 6 in view of Aoki are respectfully requested.

Regarding claim 1, the Office Action asserts that Kazuhiro teaches a liquid crystal device comprising: a first operation mode conducting sequential driving; a second operation mode conducting simultaneous-multiple driving; an input selecting one of the first operation mode and the second operation mode; and a control unit switching between the operation modes according to output of the input unit. Claim 1 is amended to clarify the recited subject matter. The device of Kazuhiro uses outputs directly from the shift register as sampling signals. This configuration is not precise and, as such, can result in overlap between sampling signals that should be separate. Claim 1 recites an enable circuit that ANDs the transfer signal and the

enable signals during the same time duration as the transfer signal to output a plurality of sampling signals during the time duration of the transfer signal, the sampling signals having the same pulsewidth and timing as the pulsewidth and timing of the enable signals. Kazuhiro does not teach or suggest these features.

Regarding claim 4, the Office Action concedes that Kazuhiro fails to disclose a motion detector for detecting the presence or absence of motion in an image to be displayed. The Office Action relies on Yamazaki to fill in the shortfall. However, Yamazaki is not applied in a manner that would overcome the shortfall lacking in Kazuhiro resulting in Kazuhiro failing to anticipate the subject matter of claim 1. Claim 4 recites similar features.

For at least these reasons, the applied prior art references do not teach or suggest the combination of features recited in independent claims 1 and 4. Claims 2, 3 and 5-15 are patentable for at least the same reasons as claims 1 and 4.

Withdrawal and reconsideration of the rejections of claims 1-11 over the applied prior art references are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-15 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

James E. Golladay, II
Registration No. 58,182

JAO:JEG/jth

Date: August 7, 2006

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

| |
|--|
| <p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p> |
|--|